UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO. 6783	
10/624,777	7 07/21/2003 Gary B		4966-102 US		
Diane Dunn Mo	7590 06/20/2007	EXAM	EXAMINER		
Mathews, Collin	ns, Shepherd & McKay, P.	ANDERSO	ANDERSON, JAMES D		
Suite 306 100 Thanet Circ	nie.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
Princeton, NJ 0		1614			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			06/20/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application	No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/624,777		BUBB, GARY						
Office Action Summary		Examiner		Art Unit					
		James D. Ar	derson	1614					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply									
A SHORTENED STATUTOL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, - Extensions of time may be available after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mail If NO period for reply is specified abo Failure to reply within the set or exter Any reply received by the Office later earned patent term adjustment. See	FROM THE MAILING I under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 ng date of this communication. Eve, the maximum statutory perion ded period for reply will, by statuthan three months after the mail.	DATE OF THIS 1.136(a). In no event, od will apply and will e ute, cause the applica	COMMUNICATION however, may a reply be tim xpire SIX (6) MONTHS from tion to become ABANDONEI	. ely filed the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	·				
Status									
1) Responsive to commu	unication(s) filed on <u>21</u>	<i>July</i> 2003.							
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL .	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.								
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is								
closed in accordance	with the practice under	r Ex parte Quay	de, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims									
4)	n(s) is/are withdra allowed. rejected. objected to.	rawn from cons							
Application Papers									
	n is/are: a) ac est that any objection to the neet(s) including the corre	ccepted or b) ne drawing(s) be ection is required	held in abeyance. See if the drawing(s) is obj	: 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 Cl	• •				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119									
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
Attachment(s)									
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO		4)	Interview Summary						
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent D Information Disclosure Statement Paper No(s)/Mail Date			Paper No(s)/Mail Da Notice of Informal Pa Other:						

Art Unit: 1614

CLAIMS 1-26 ARE PRESENTED FOR EXAMINATION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. § 121:

- Claims 1-15, drawn to an apparatus for forming miniature tablets and a method of forming a miniature tablet, classified in class 264, subclass 40.5.
- II. Claim 16, drawn to a miniature tablet formed by the method of claim 9, classified in class 424, subclass 464.
- III. Claim 17, drawn to an apparatus for comprising product material into tablets, classified in class 264, subclass 297.8.
- IV. Claims 18-23, drawn to miniature tablets, classified in class 424, subclass 464.
- V. Claims 24-25, drawn to an apparatus for forming miniature tablets, classified in class 264, subclass 40.5.
- VI. Claim 26, drawn to an apparatus for testing the strength of a miniature tablet, classified in class 264, subclass 40.1.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II/IV are related as apparatus and product made. The inventions in this relationship are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the apparatus as claimed is not an obvious apparatus for making the product and the apparatus can be used for making a materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different apparatus (MPEP § 806.05(g)). In this case, a materially different process and/or a materially different apparatus can make the miniature tablets of Group II or IV

Art Unit: 1614

than that recited in the claims of Group I. For example, punching the miniature tablets from a sheet of compressed material could make the tablets of Group II or IV.

Inventions I, III and V are directed to related apparatus. The related inventions are distinct if the (1) the inventions as claimed are either not capable of use together or can have a materially different design, mode of operation, function, or effect; (2) the inventions do not overlap in scope, *i.e.*, are mutually exclusive; and (3) the inventions as claimed are not obvious variants. See MPEP § 806.05(j). In the instant case, the inventions as claimed the distinct apparatus are not obvious variants, do not overlap in scope, and have materially different designs. For example, the apparatus of Group I has limitations and features not present in the apparatus of Group III or V. Similarly, the apparatus of Group V has features not present in the apparatus of Group I or III. Furthermore, the inventions as claimed do not encompass overlapping subject matter and there is nothing of record to show them to be obvious variants.

Inventions I-V and VI are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different designs, modes of operation, and effects (MPEP § 802.01 and § 806.06). In the instant case, the different inventions are not disclosed as capable of use together, the apparatus of Group VI cannot be used to make any of the tablets recited in Groups II or IV, and the apparatus of Group VI is unrelated (in structure and function) to the apparatus recited in Groups I, III, and V.

These inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required. Because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art due to their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Art Unit: 1614

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James D. Anderson whose telephone number is 571-272-9038. The examiner can normally be reached on MON-FRI 9:00 am - 5:00 pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ardin Marschel can be reached on 571-272-0718. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

Art Unit: 1614

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

James D. Anderson Patent Examiner AU 1614

June 11, 2007

PHYLLIS SPIVACK PRIMARY EXAMINER

Phyllis Spiwack